

**From:** [Robert Neely](#)  
**To:** [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)  
**Subject:** Fw: Portland data update status  
**Date:** 10/08/2008 11:07 AM

---

Fyi

----- Original Message -----  
From: Corinne Severn <cgsevern@premiercorp-usa.com>  
To: 'Robert Neely' <Robert.Neely@noaa.gov>  
Cc: 'Jay Field' <Jay.Field@noaa.gov>  
Sent: Wed Oct 08 13:49:22 2008  
Subject: RE: Portland data update status

Robert -  
I have been updating the bioassay data from the revised Integral/Windward calculations and incorporating the statistical significance. I sent an email to Jay about the revisions last night and he said he wouldn't be able to respond until later today. Also, I reviewed the Round 2 bioassay data for replicates in light of the revisions to the calculations methods for Round 3 and found that like the Round 3, the growth data in Round 2 were based on final number of organisms (after mortality occurs) whereas the new calculations are based on initial number of organisms (takes into account reduced growth resulting from mortality). So, I would need to revise the Round 2 data to make it comparable to Round 3 (about 6 hours of work using the original laboratory spreadsheets - 24 files).

While waiting on some responses from Jay on my bioassay calculations and the TestID to be assigned to the data, I am working on revising the sums for all data in the database to use our new qualcode scheme that indicates the number of analytes considered for the sum as well as the typical CALC/UCALC coding.

Corinne

-----Original Message-----  
From: Robert Neely [mailto:Robert.Neely@noaa.gov]  
Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2008 10:25 AM  
To: 'cgsevern@premiercorp-usa.com'  
Cc: 'jay.field@noaa.gov'  
Subject: Portland data update status

Hey Corinne - I'm with Eric Blischke, the Portland Harbor RPM. Can you give me a quick update on the status of the updates to the PH QM database?

R